

## **Revised basic Guidance on the law relating to certain Orders to be made under the Highways Act 1980**

- **Diversion Orders under s119**
- **Diversion Orders under s119A**
- **Diversion Orders under s119ZA**
- **Diversion Orders under s119B**
- **Diversion Orders under s119C**
- **Diversion Orders under s119D**
- **Extinguishment Orders under s118**
- **Extinguishment Orders under s118A**
- **Extinguishment Orders under s118ZA**
- **Extinguishment Orders under s118B**
- **Extinguishment Orders under s118C**
- **Creation Order under s26**

Committee members have received a copy of the relevant sections from the Highways Act 1980 (as amended). The following is to remind Members of the criteria for the making of the Orders and to offer some guidance.

DEFRA's Rights of Way Circular (1/09 version 2) sets out DEFRA's policy on public rights of way and its view of the law. It can be found on DEFRA's web site. Orders made under the Highways Act 1980 are considered in Section 5 where the Guidance says that "the statutory provisions for creating, diverting and extinguishing public rights of way in the Highways Act 1980 have been framed to protect both the public's rights and the interests of owners and occupiers. They also protect the interests of bodies such as statutory undertakers."

Often the legal test requires the Committee to be satisfied as to the expediency of something. It is suggested that for something to be expedient it is appropriate and suitable to the circumstances and may incline towards being of an advantage even if not particularly fair. Something which is expedient would seem to facilitate your achieving a desired end.

Whether something is as convenient or not substantially less convenient may need to be considered. It is suggested that convenient refers to being suitable and easy to use.

Under S40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006, every public authority must, in exercising its functions, have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity.

Under Section 11 of the Countryside Act 1968 in the exercise of their functions relating to land under any enactment every Minister, government department and public body shall have regard to the desirability of conserving the natural beauty and amenity of the countryside.

### **Diversion Order s119**

## TO MAKE AN ORDER

To be satisfied that it is expedient in the interests of the owner, lessee or Occupier.

OR

To be satisfied that it is expedient in the interests of the public

To be satisfied that the Order will not alter a point of termination at all if it is a cul de sac route (ending at a beauty spot for example).

OR

If the route terminates at a highway to be satisfied that the termination point is only being moved to another point on the same highway or to another highway connected to it and the point is substantially as convenient to the public.

To have due regard to the needs of agriculture and forestry and the desirability of conserving flora, fauna and geological and physiographical features.

## TO CONFIRM THE ORDER IF UNOPPOSED OR SEEK CONFIRMATION FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE (AT A PUBLIC INQUIRY IF NECESSARY) IF THE ORDER IS OPPOSED

To be satisfied that it is expedient in the interests of the owner, lessee or occupier

OR

To be satisfied that it is expedient in the interests of the public

To be satisfied that the route will not be substantially less convenient to the public.

That it is expedient to confirm it having regard to the effect the diversion would have on public enjoyment of the path or way as a whole.

That it is expedient to confirm it having regard to the effect on land served by the existing right of way (compensation can be taken into account)

That it is expedient to confirm it having regard to the effect on the land over which the "new" section runs and any land held with it (compensation can be taken into account).

Also having regard to any material provision of any Rights of Way Improvement Plan.

To have due regard to the needs of agriculture and forestry and the desirability of conserving flora, fauna and geological and physiographical features.

That there is no apparatus belonging to or used by statutory undertakers under, in, upon, over, along or across the land crossed by the present definitive route unless the statutory undertakers have consented to the confirmation of the Order (consent not to be unreasonably withheld).

## GUIDANCE

The point of termination being as substantially convenient is a matter of judgement subject to the test of reasonableness. Convenience would have its natural and ordinary meaning

and refer to such matters as whether the new point of termination facilitated the access of the highway network and accommodated user's normal use of the network.

That the diverted path is not substantially less convenient would mean convenience again being considered. The wording in the Statute allows the diversion to be slightly less convenient but it must not be substantially less so. The length of the diversion, difficulty of walking it, effect on users who may approach the diversion from different directions are factors to be considered.

The effect on public enjoyment of the whole route has to be considered. It would be possible that a proposed diversion may be as convenient but made the route less enjoyable (perhaps it was less scenic). Alternatively the diversion may give the route greater public enjoyment but be substantially less convenient (being less accessible or longer than the existing path).

In deciding whether it is expedient to confirm a public path diversion order in the exercise of the power conferred by section 119(6) of the 1980 Act, the decision-maker must have regard to the effect of the matters specified above (and any material provision of a rights of way improvement plan) and may have regard to any other relevant matter, including if appropriate the interests of the owner or occupier of the land over which the path currently passes, or the wider public interest. The expediency test therefore brings in having regard to various issues. This approach was confirmed as correct by the Court of Appeal this year (2021) in *The Open Spaces Society v Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs*.

It may be that the grounds to make an Order are satisfied but the Committee may be unhappy that the route can satisfy the confirmation test. It is suggested that in such circumstances the Order should be made but the Committee should consider deferring the decision on whether to confirm it (if there are no objections) or (if there are objections) whether to instruct officers not to even send the Order to the Secretary of State for confirmation or to instruct to submit the Order to the Secretary of State and promote the confirmation of same. The Council has a discretion whether to submit this type of Order to the Secretary of State. It is not obliged to just because it has made the Order.

Under amended provisions, the "new" section of route will "appear" on confirmation of the Order (or a set number of days thereafter) but the "old" route will remain until the new route is certified as fit for use. It would appear that the public could quickly have the use of a new section which is fit for use as soon as confirmed but if the new route is unfit for use for a long time, the old line of the Right of Way is still there for the public to use.

It is advised that when considering orders made under Section 119(6), whether the right of way will be/ will not be substantially less convenient to the public in consequence of the diversion, an equitable comparison between the existing and proposed routes can only be made by similarly disregarding any temporary circumstances preventing or diminishing the use of the existing route by the public. Therefore, in all cases where this test is to be applied, the convenience of the existing route is to be assessed as if the way were unobstructed and maintained to a standard suitable for those users who have the right to use it.

It would appear that a way created by a Diversion Order may follow an existing right of way for some but not most or all of its length.

The reference to having regard to needs of agriculture includes the breeding or keeping of horses.

Reference to having regard to the material provisions of the Rights of Way Improvement Plan refers to the RWIP prepared in June 2005. The full document is on the County Council's web site.

## **Diversion Orders under s119A**

### **TO MAKE AN ORDER**

To be satisfied that it is expedient in the interests of the safety of members of the public using or likely to use a footpath or bridleway which crosses a railway otherwise than by a tunnel or bridge

To be satisfied that the Order will not alter a point of termination at all if it is a cul de sac route (ending at a beauty spot for example).

OR

If the route terminates at a highway to be satisfied that the termination point is being moved to another point on the same highway or to another highway connected to it.

To have due regard to the needs of agriculture and forestry and the desirability of conserving flora, fauna and geological and physiographical features.

Whether the railway operator be required to maintain the diversion route.

Whether the rail operator enter into an agreement to defray or contribute towards compensation, expenses or barriers and signage, bringing the alternative route into fit condition.

### **TO CONFIRM AN ORDER IF UNOPPOSED OR SEEK CONFIRMATION FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE (AT A PUBLIC INQUIRY IF NECESSARY) IF THE ORDER IS OPPOSED**

To be satisfied that it is expedient to do so having regard to all the circumstances and in particular to –

Whether it is reasonably practicable to make the crossing safe for use by them public; and

What arrangements have been made for ensuring that any appropriate barriers and signs are erected and maintained.

A rail crossing diversion order shall not be confirmed unless statutory undertakers whose apparatus is affected have consented to the confirmation (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld).

### **GUIDANCE**

The statutory provisions make it clear that the diversion can be onto land of another owner lessee or occupier

A change to the point of termination has to be onto a highway but the statutory provisions do not insist that the point has to be substantially as convenient (as is the requirement in S119).

The grounds for this type of diversion order refer to balancing the safety of continuing to use the level crossing and whether it could be made safe rather than divert the path. The information from the rail operator is therefore considered to be very important.

**Diversion Orders under s119ZA**

**Diversion Orders under s119B**

**Diversion Orders under s119C**

**Diversion Orders under s119D**

Guidance under these specific sections will be made available when required

### **Extinguishment Order under s118**

#### **TO MAKE AN ORDER**

To be satisfied that it is expedient that the path be stopped up on the ground that the footpath or bridleway is not needed for public use.

To have due regard to the needs of agriculture and forestry and the desirability of conserving flora, fauna and geological and physiographical features.

#### **TO CONFIRM THE ORDER IF UNOPPOSED OR SEEK CONFIRMATION FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE (AT A PUBLIC INQUIRY IF NECESSARY) IF THE ORDER IS OPPOSED**

To be satisfied that it is expedient to do so.

To have regard to the extent to which it appears that the path would be likely to be used by the public.

To have regard to the effect which the extinguishment would have as respects land served by the path (compensation can be taken into account).

Where the Order is linked with a Creation Order or a Diversion Order then the Authority or Inspector can have regard to the extent to which the Creation Order or Diversion Order would provide an alternative path.

That there is no apparatus belonging to or used by statutory undertakers under in, upon, over, along or across the land crossed by the present definitive route unless the statutory undertakers have consented to the confirmation of the Order (consent not to be unreasonably withheld).

#### **GUIDANCE**

Temporary circumstances preventing or diminishing the use of the path shall be disregarded. These include obstructions, which are likely to be removed. Trees and 4 feet

wide hedges have been held to be temporary and even an electricity sub station. Many obstructions seem therefore to be able to be disregarded but this does make it difficult to assess what the use of the path would be if the obstruction were not there.

To be satisfied that it is expedient to confirm means that other considerations other than use could be taken into account perhaps safety, perhaps cost.

An Order can be confirmed if it is thought that, despite the fact that it was likely to be used, it is not needed because of a convenient path nearby.

Councils are advised to take care to avoid creating a cul de sac when extinguishing only part of a way.

The reference to having regard to needs of agriculture includes the breeding or keeping of horses.

### **Extinguishment Orders under s118A**

#### **TO MAKE AN ORDER**

An Order under this section can be made where it appears expedient to stop up a footpath or bridleway in the interests of the safety of members of the public using or likely to use a footpath or bridleway which crosses a railway, other than by tunnel or bridge.

#### **TO CONFIRM AN ORDER IF UNOPPOSED OR SEEK CONFIRMATION FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE (AT A PUBLIC INQUIRY IF NECESSARY) IF THE ORDER IS OPPOSED**

The Order can be confirmed if satisfied that it is expedient to do so having regard to all the circumstances and in particular whether it is reasonably practicable to make the crossing safe for use by the public and what arrangements have been made for ensuring that, if the Order is confirmed, any appropriate barriers and signs are erected and maintained.

#### **GUIDANCE**

It is noted that there is not the same requirements as under S118 to consider need for the route. Instead it is safety which is the reason for the Order being made to close the right of way.

### **Extinguishment Orders under s118B**

Section 118B enables footpaths, bridleways, restricted byways or byways open to all traffic to be extinguished permanently by two types of Special Extinguishment Order.

#### **TO MAKE THE FIRST TYPE OF S118B ORDER**

The highway concerned has to be in an area specially designated by the Secretary of State.

To be satisfied that it is expedient that the highway be extinguished for the purpose of preventing or reducing crime which would otherwise disrupt the life of the community.

To be satisfied that premises adjoining or adjacent to the highway are affected by high levels of crime and

That the existence of the highway is facilitating the persistent commission of criminal offences.

TO CONFIRM THE ORDER IF UNOPPOSED OR SEEK CONFIRMATION FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE (AT A PUBLIC INQUIRY IF NECESSARY) IF THE ORDER IS OPPOSED

The Order can be confirmed if all the reasons for making the Order (above) are still satisfied and also

That it is expedient having regard to all circumstances

Also having regard to whether and to what extent the Order is consistent with any strategy for the reduction of crime and disorder prepared under S6 Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and

Having regard to the availability of a reasonably convenient alternative route or, if no such route is available, whether it would be reasonably practicable to divert the highway rather than stopping it up, and

Having regard to the effect the extinguishment would have as respects land served by the highway account being taken of the provisions available for compensation.

TO MAKE THE SECOND TYPE OF S118B ORDER

To be satisfied that the highway crosses land occupied for the purposes of a school.

That the extinguishment is expedient for the purpose of protecting the pupils or staff from violence or the threat of violence, harassment, alarm or distress arising from unlawful activity or any other risk to their health or safety arising from such activity.

TO CONFIRM THE ORDER IF UNOPPOSED OR SEEK CONFIRMATION FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE (AT A PUBLIC INQUIRY IF NECESSARY) IF THE ORDER IS OPPOSED

The Order can be confirmed if all the reasons for making the Order (above) are still satisfied and also

That it is expedient having regard to all circumstances

That regard is had to any other measures that have been or could be taken for improving or maintaining the security of the school

That regard is had as to whether it is likely that the Order will result in a substantial improvement in that security

That regard is had to the availability of a reasonably convenient alternative route or, if no such route is available, whether it would be reasonably practicable to divert the highway rather than stopping it up, and

Having regard to the effect the extinguishment would have as respects land served by the highway account being taken of the provisions available for compensation.

## GUIDANCE

Under S118B there are specific criteria to be satisfied before an Order can take effect and to remove a highway from the network of rights of way. It should be noted that an Order extinguishes the footpath (or other type of highway) permanently. Members of the Committee may also be aware of the power, since April 2006, of the Council to make Gating Orders whereby highway rights remain but subject to restrictions which are reviewed annually and will eventually be lifted.

### **Extinguishment Orders under s118ZA**

Guidance under this section will be made available when required

### **Extinguishment Orders under s118C**

Guidance under this section will be made available when required

### **Creation Order under s26**

#### TO MAKE AN ORDER

To be satisfied that there is a need for the footpath or bridleway and

To be satisfied that it is expedient that the path be created

To have regard to the extent the path would add to the convenience or enjoyment of a substantial section of the public, or

To have regard to the extent the path would add to the convenience of persons resident in the area

To have regard to the effect on the rights of persons interested in the land, taking compensation provisions into account.

To have due regard to the needs of agriculture and forestry and the desirability of conserving flora, fauna and geological and physiographical features.

TO CONFIRM THE ORDER IF UNOPPOSED OR SEEK CONFIRMATION FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE (AT A PUBLIC INQUIRY IF NECESSARY) IF THE ORDER IS OPPOSED

The same test as above.

## GUIDANCE



Again there is convenience to consider.

There may also need to be some consensus as to what constitutes a substantial section of the public.

Persons interested in the land may include owners and tenants and maybe mortgagees.

The reference to having regard to needs of agriculture includes the breeding or keeping of horses.